[8th February 1924

District Board, Trichinopoly.

314 Q.—Diwan Bahadur S. Rm. M. Ct. PETHACHI CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the resolution of the District Board of Trichinopoly, dated 22nd December 1923, requesting the Government to confer on it the privilege of electing its own President has been communicated to him; and

(*b*) whether the request of the Board has been granted?

A.—(*a*) The answer is in the affirmative.

(*b*) The matter is under consideration.

Re-arrangement of local boards in the Kistna district.

315 Q.—Mr. P. PEDDIRAJU: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether in view of the bifurcation of the Kistna district the Government intend to re-arrange the local boards in the district; and

(*b*) if the answer to (*a*) is in the affirmative, whether the Government will be pleased to lay the proposals on the table?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) No re-arrangement is at present proposed. The district board has not yet moved in the matter.

Taluk Board, Kasaragod.

316 Q.—Rao Sahib U. RAMA RAO: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(1) whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to the proceedings of the meetings of the Kasaragod Taluk Board held at Kasaragod, South Kanara district, on Monday the 29th October 1923;

(2) whether it is a fact that nearly 64 items had been put on the agenda for consideration and disposal at that meeting and that all the items were ordered 'to lie over';

(3) whether it is a fact that the failure to transact any business at that meeting was due to personal differences between the President and other members of the taluk board;

(4) whether the Government will kindly state the total amount of travelling allowances and batta drawn by the members of the board for attendance at that particular meeting; and

(5) if the Government have no information on these points whether they will be pleased to call for and furnish the necessary information at an early date?

A.—(1) The answer is in the negative.

(2) *to* (4) The Government have no information.

(5) The information will be called for.

Amalgamation of the Trichinopoly and Srirangam municipalities.

317 Q.—Mr. M. R. SETURATNAM AYYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(1) whether the Government have any idea of amalgamating the Trichinopoly and Srirangam municipalities into one big municipal corporation; and